




Revista Clínica Española

www.elsevier.es/rce



SPECIAL ARTICLE

Teaching about chronicity in medical schools – A review of the current situation



I. Novo-Veleiro*, R. Bengoa, A. Pose-Reino

Cátedra de Cronicidad, Universidad de Santiago de Compostela, A Coruña, Spain

Received 24 October 2022; accepted 1 December 2022

Available online 20 December 2022

KEYWORDS

Medical teaching;
Chronicity;
Innovative teaching
tools;
Medical school
curricula

Abstract In recent decades, progressive population aging in developed countries has led to a significant increase in the number of people with at least one chronic medical condition. As a result, acquiring knowledge about chronicity in medical school is key for physicians to be able to provide proper management for these patients. However, the presence of chronicity in educational curricula is scarce and highly variable.

On the one hand, this work consisted of a review of the educational programs of the main medical schools on each continent with the aim of identifying whether they included chronicity and, on the other, a literature review focused on identifying educational projects in the field of chronicity.

The presence of chronicity in most medical schools' curricula is marginal and only a few universities include specific skills or competences linked to chronicity. In most cases, this topic appears as a global, cross-curricular competence that students are supposed to acquire over the course of their entire education. The literature review retrieved 21 articles on innovative teaching projects on chronicity. Direct contact with chronic patients, most times as "health mentors," the role of the student as a teacher, and continuous evaluation and feedback from all participants are the main characteristics of the projects analyzed. Some previously published experiences support the usefulness of innovative methodologies for better approaching this capital field in current medical practice.

Despite the current situation in which chronic patients consume most healthcare resources, the presence of chronicity in medical schools is marginal. However, a literature review did identify some useful experiences for improving education on chronicity in medical schools. Medical schools should change their academic curricula and redirect them towards providing students all the necessary tools for improving their knowledge on chronicity.

© 2023 The Authors. Published by Elsevier España, S.L.U. This is an open access article under the CC BY-NC-ND license (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: ignacio.novo.veleiro@sergas.es (I. Novo-Veleiro).

PALABRAS CLAVE

Formación médica pregrado; Cronicidad; Herramientas docentes innovadoras; Planes de estudio de las facultades de Medicina

La docencia sobre cronicidad en las facultades de Medicina: una revisión de la situación actual

Resumen En las últimas décadas, el progresivo envejecimiento de la población en los países desarrollados ha provocado un aumento significativo del número de personas con al menos una enfermedad crónica. Como consecuencia, es fundamental que la formación pregrado en Medicina aporte conocimientos sobre la cronicidad, de forma que los médicos puedan proporcionar un manejo adecuado a estos pacientes. A pesar de ello, la presencia de la cronicidad en los currículos formativos de las facultades de Medicina es escasa y muy variable.

Este trabajo consistió, por un lado, en una revisión de los programas formativos de las principales facultades de Medicina de cada continente, con el objetivo de identificar si incluían aspectos relacionados con la cronicidad y, por otro, en una revisión bibliográfica enfocada a identificar proyectos educativos en el campo de la cronicidad.

La presencia de la cronicidad en los planes de estudio de la mayoría de las facultades de Medicina es marginal y solo unas pocas universidades incluyen habilidades o competencias específicas vinculadas a este campo. En la mayoría de los casos en los que sí aparece, este tema se refleja como una competencia global y transversal que los estudiantes deben adquirir a lo largo de toda su formación. La revisión bibliográfica identificó 21 artículos sobre proyectos docentes innovadores sobre cronicidad. Las principales características de los proyectos analizados son: el contacto directo con pacientes crónicos, la mayoría de las veces como «mentores de salud», el papel del estudiante como profesor y la evaluación y retroalimentación continuas de todos los participantes. Algunas experiencias previamente publicadas avalan la utilidad de metodologías innovadoras para una mejora en el abordaje de este campo en la práctica médica diaria.

A pesar de la situación actual, en la que los pacientes crónicos consumen la mayor parte de los recursos sanitarios, la presencia de la cronicidad en las facultades de Medicina es marginal. Sin embargo, la revisión bibliográfica realizada ha permitido identificar algunas experiencias útiles para mejorar la formación sobre cronicidad en nuestras facultades. Las facultades de Medicina deberían modificar sus currículos académicos y reorientarlos para proporcionar a los estudiantes todas las herramientas necesarias para mejorar sus conocimientos sobre cronicidad. © 2023 Los Autores. Publicado por Elsevier España, S.L.U. Este es un artículo Open Access bajo la licencia CC BY-NC-ND (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/>).

Background

The progressive aging of the global population and the inversion of the population pyramid in developed countries in recent decades, which has been linked to economic, health-care, and social advances, has led to a situation in which previously fatal diseases, like ischemic heart disease, have turned into chronic conditions. As a result, the development of new complications and interactions between multiple chronic diseases in a single patient have increased the complexity of the management of many patients. In Spain, it is estimated that 34% of the population has at least one chronic health condition, a rate that increases to 78% among people 65 years of age or older.¹

This new challenge affects not only daily clinical practice, but also medical schools and their way of teaching medicine. Indeed, Mateos-Nozal et al. analyzed the Spanish medical education system and identified a huge deficit in the field of geriatrics and chronicity.² They proposed several solutions focused on innovations in teaching methods.³ In the United States of America, the American Medical Association's Accelerating Change in Medical Education initiative started a working group in 2016 with the aim of improving undergraduate education on chronic diseases and chronicity; the group has proposed 11 core competences on this subject.⁴

Beyond geriatrics and in light of the increasing number of chronic patients of all ages, the current and future situation requires a profound rethinking of medical schools' educational systems. This change should originate in the teaching professionals themselves and their perception of chronicity, which at present still seem to be quite negative.⁵ Most medical schools lack a specific program regarding chronicity and this area of knowledge is not an important part of either the curricular objectives or competences. Therefore, this work aims to assess the situation of undergraduate teaching on chronicity in medical schools worldwide, identify innovative projects in this area, and propose a possible improvement plan.

Methods

This work was conducted in three different phases.

Review of the main medical schools' academic curricula

First, a search was conducted to identify the main medical schools on each continent using the following indexes: Academic Ranking of World Universities,⁶ QS World University Rankings,⁷ and the Center for World University Rankings.⁸

Second, a representative sample from each continent was selected according to quality level, including at least the top five medical schools on each continent with the highest average combined scores on these three rankings. Considering the number of medical schools by country, we also selected at least the first five medical schools with the highest average scores from countries with a greater number of medical schools.

Once this process was complete, the information available on the medical schools' websites was used to explore their academic curricula with the aim of identifying any references to chronicity, chronic diseases, or chronic patients. References related to geriatric medicine, comorbidity, or aging were also searched for. Schools in which there were no references to these terms in their curricula were considered to offer no instruction on chronicity. If any of the related key terms was identified, attempts were made to confirm the existence of a program or subject dedicated to education on chronicity by reviewing the subject's syllabus or by contacting department heads or other professionals when this information was not sufficient for evaluating the presence of chronicity. For this step, the person in charge was emailed at their listed email address and he or she was directly asked if he or she considered that chronicity was fully and correctly addressed in the curriculum.

Programs were recorded as having a "Reference to chronicity" when the existence of specific teaching programs or subjects on chronicity was confirmed. Programs were recorded as having a "Potentially related subject" when some link to chronicity was found, but there was a lack of specific education on chronicity (Tables 1 and 2).

The review included the five main medical schools from United Kingdom, Germany, Portugal, France, and Italy and the 15 main medical schools from the remaining European countries. All Spanish medical schools were included in the review. Fifteen main medical schools from the United States of America, five from Canada, 25 from Latin America, 25 from Asia, five from Oceania, and five from Africa were also included. In the end, 120 medical schools were included in the review in addition to the 43 Spanish medical schools. The complete list of the medical schools included is available in Tables 1 and 2.

Review of scientific articles

A literature review was conducted on the PubMed, Scopus, SciELO, and Dialnet databases using keywords with the following searching strategy: (((chronicity[Title/Abstract]) OR (chronic disease[Title/Abstract])) AND ((teaching[Title/Abstract]) OR (medical education[Title/Abstract]) OR (medical school[Title/Abstract]) OR (undergraduate[Title/Abstract]))). The literature review was also expanded through related citations and by checking the reference section of the selected articles.

The main objective of this review was to identify publications on the teaching methods used in chronicity in medical studies. The inclusion criteria were articles with a description or evaluation of any teaching experience

in the field of chronicity or articles with any proposals for new teaching methods in this field. Only articles which referred to undergraduate medical teaching were included; those which focused on postgraduate or specialized medical training were excluded. All duplicate articles or those referring to the same experience or project were excluded.

These criteria were applied through an initial reading of the title and abstract or through a deeper review of the article's full content when necessary. The main key points extracted from the articles were: innovative proposal, use of technology, transmission of values and skills to students, existence of a completed project, and existence of a critical evaluation of results. The presence of these key points allowed for the articles to be considered high quality.

The information extracted from the selected articles was summarized as highlights regarding innovative aspects, specific target competences and skills, and type of self-assessment of outcomes.

Proposal for the implementation of innovative educational elements or programs on chronicity

Once all the available information was evaluated, a proposal was developed for innovative educational elements or programs related to chronicity that could be applied not only at our center (University of Santiago de Compostela Medical School), but also at any medical school interested in improving the teaching systems regarding chronicity.

Results

Review of the main medical schools' academic curricula

The first notable difference regarding the various medical schools' teaching programs is the educational curriculum itself, which may be organized in blocks of subjects, sets of competences, cross-curricular competences, or pre-defined itineraries with optional additional training.

A huge degree of variability can be observed not only when comparing schools in different countries, but also among medical schools in the same country, as shown in Tables 1 and 2. Nevertheless, one conclusion that can easily be drawn from a review of educational programs and curricula is that medical schools of greater importance and international recognition have changed the structure of their teaching into a competence-based curricula, with educational systems based on wide-ranging cross-curricular educational competences that are present throughout student's entire medical education.⁹ Unfortunately, chronicity was not one of these cross-curricular competences in most cases and its presence in medical schools' curricula is very unusual.

In the case of Spain, a subject that includes the concept of chronicity was only found in half of medical schools, with no mention made in the other half. No medical schools

Table 1 Overview of Spanish medical schools' academic curricula and the presence of subjects related to chronicity.

University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Year
University of Santiago de Compostela	No	Palliative Medicine and Geriatrics	4th
University of Oviedo	No	No	–
Autonomous University of Madrid	No	Geriatrics	5th
Complutense University of Madrid	No	No	–
University of Valencia	No	Physiology of Aging	Opt
University of Extremadura	No	Family Medicine and Geriatrics	5th
Miguel Hernández University of Elche	No	No	–
University of Alcalá de Henares	No	No	–
University of Granada	No	No	–
University of Seville	No	Geriatrics and Palliative Care	5th
University of Málaga	No	No	–
Jaume I University of Castellón	No	No	–
University of La Laguna	No	No	–
University of Córdoba	No	Clinical integration: the multiple comorbidity patient	5th
University of Cádiz	No	No	–
University of Castilla la Mancha	No	No	–
University of Salamanca	No	Geriatrics and Family Medicine	6th
University of Murcia	No	No	–
University of Barcelona	No	No	–
University of Zaragoza	No	Geriatrics, Infectious Diseases and Emergencies	Third
Public University of Navarre	No	Geriatrics and Palliative Care	6th
University of Las Palmas de Gran Canaria	No	Geriatrics and Palliative Care	5th
Pompeu Fabra University of Barcelona	No	No	–
University of the Basque Country	No	No	–
University of Cantabria	No	No	–
University of the Balearic Islands	No	Geriatrics	5th
University of Girona	No	Fragility and dependence	Opt
		Vital continuity, changes in the organism: aging	6th
Rovira i Virgili University of Tarragona	No	Geriatrics	4th
University of Lleida	No	No	–
Central University of Catalonia (Vic)	No	Vital continuity, changes in the organism: aging	5th
Rey Juan Carlos University	No	No	–
Autonomous University of Barcelona	No	No	–
University of Navarra	No	No	–
European University of Madrid	No	No	–
Alfonso X el Sabio University	No	No	–
Catholic University of Valencia	No	No	–
San Pablo CEU University (Madrid)	No	Geriatrics and Palliative Care	4th
Francisco de Vitoria University (Madrid)	No	Geriatrics	4th
CEU Cardenal Herrera University (Valencia/Castellón)	No	No	–
Catholic University of Murcia	No	Geriatrics and Gerontology	5th
International University of Catalonia (Barcelona)	Yes	Aging, Pluripathology and Chronicity	5th

Opt: optional course.

Table 2 Review of the academic curricula of medical schools on different continents and the presence of subjects related to chronicity.

Main European Medical Schools			
Portugal			
University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
University of the Algarve	No	No	-
University of Coimbra	No	No	-
University of Lisbon	No	Diseases of aging	Third
University of Porto	No	No	-
New University of Lisbon	No	No	-
France			
University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
Université Paris Sciences and Letters	No	No	-
Sorbonne University (Paris)	No	No	-
University of Paris	No	No	-
University of Grenoble-Alpes	No	No	-
University of Strasbourg	No	No	-
United Kingdom			
University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
Oxford University	No	Population Health 1: Medical Sociology	First
Cambridge University	No	No	-
Imperial College London	No	The aging patient	5th
The University of Edinburgh	No	No	-
University of Manchester	No	No	-
Germany			
University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
Technical University of Munich	No	No	-
Ludwig Maximilian University (Munich)	No	No	-
Ruprecht-Karls University (Heidelberg)	No	No	-
Freie Universität (Berlin)	No	No	-
RWTH Aachen University	No	No	-
Italy			
University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
University of Bologna	No	No	-
Sapienza University of Rome	No	No	-
University of Padua	No	No	-

Table 2 (Continued)

University of Milan	No	No	–
University of Pisa	No	No	.
Rest of Europe			
University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
University of Amsterdam (Netherlands)	No	Aging	First
Lomonosov Moscow State University (Russia)	No	No	–
Copenhagen University (Denmark)	No	No	–
KU Leuven (Belgium)	No	No	–
Lund University (Sweden)	No	No	–
Trinity College of Dublin (Ireland)	No	No	–
University of Helsinki (Finland)	No	No	–
University of Geneva (Switzerland)	No	No	–
University of Oslo (Norway)	No	No	–
University of Bern (Switzerland)	No	No	–
Utrecht University (Netherlands)	No	No	–
Uppsala University (Sweden)	No	No	–
Leiden University (Netherlands)	No	No	–
Groningen University (Netherlands)	No	No	–
Ghent University (Belgium)	No	No	–
Main US Medical Schools			
University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related competition	Course
Harvard University (Massachusetts)	Yes	Essentials of the profession	CC
Stanford University (California)	No	No	–
USC Caltech (Los Angeles)	No	No	–
University of Chicago	No	No	–
University of Pennsylvania (Philadelphia)	No	No	–
Cornell University (Ithaca)	No	No	–
Yale University (New Haven, Connecticut)	No	No	–
Columbia University (New York)	No	No	–
University of Michigan (Ann Arbor)	No	No	–
Johns Hopkins University (Baltimore)	No	No	–
Northwestern University (Chicago)	No	Patient-Centered Medical Care	CC
University of California (San Francisco)	Yes	Longitudinal Clinical Experience	CC
New York University	No	No	–
Duke University (Durham)	No	No	–
Brown University (Providence)	No	No	–
CC: cross-curricular competence.			
Main Canadian Medical Schools			
University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related competition	Course
University of Toronto	No	Patient-centered clinical assessment	CC

(Continued)

McGill University (Montreal)	No	No	-
University of British Columbia (Vancouver)	No	Learning in Community Medicine	CC
University of Montreal	No	No	-
University of Alberta	No	Integrated community care	CC

CC: cross-curricular competence.

Main Latin American Medical Schools

University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
University of Buenos Aires (Argentina)	No	No	-
Autonomous University of Mexico	No	No	-
University of Sao Paulo (Brazil)	No	No	-
Pontifical Catholic University of Chile	No	No	-
Tecnológico de Monterrey (Mexico)	No	No	-
University of Chile	No	No	-
Universidad de los Andes (Colombia)	No	No	-
State University of Campinas (Brazil)	No	No	-
National University of Colombia	No	No	-
Pontifical Catholic University of Argentina	No	No	-
Federal University of Rio de Janeiro (Brazil)	No	No	-
Federal University of Sao Paulo (Brazil)	No	No	-
Pontificia Universidad Javeriana (Colombia)	No	No	-
Pontifical Catholic University of Peru	No	No	-
Austral University (Argentina)	No	No	-
University of Santiago de Chile	No	Geriatrics	4th
University of Costa Rica	No	No	-
Pontifical Catholic University of Rio de Janeiro (Brazil)	No	No	-
University of Concepción (Chile)	No	No	-
University of Antioquia (Colombia)	No	No	-
Universidad Panamericana (Mexico)	No	No	-
Federal University of Minas Gerais (Brazil)	No	No	-
National University of La Plata (Argentina)	No	No	-
Pontifical Bolivarian University (Colombia)	No	No	-
Universidad Peruana Cayetano Heredia	No	No	-

Main Asian Medical Schools

University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
National University of Singapore	No	No	-
Nayang Technological University Singapore	No	Aging	2nd
Tsinghua University of Beijing (China)	No	No	-
University of Hong Kong	No	No	-
Peking University of Beijing (China)	No	No	-
University of Tokyo (Japan)	Yes	Aging Science	Mand.
Fudan University of Shanghai (China)	No	No	-
Seoul National University (Korea)	Yes*	Environment and Chronic Diseases Epidemiology of Chronic Diseases	*

(Continued)

Kyoto University (Japan)	No	No	–
The Chinese University of Hong Kong	No	No	–
Shanghai Jiao Tong University (China)	No	No	–
City University of Hong Kong	No	No	–
Zhejiang University (China)	No	No	–
University of Malaya (Malaysia)	No	No	–
National Taiwan University	No	No	–
Korea University	No	Disease in the elderly Geriatrics Geriatric care in family medicine	Opt.
Osaka University (Japan)	No	No	–
Tohoku University (Japan)	No	No	–
Yonsei University (Korea)	No	No	–
Sungkyunkwan University (Korea)	No	No	–
Nagoya University (Japan)	No	No	–
Kyushu University (Japan)	No	No	–
Nanjing University (Japan)	No	No	–
University of Putra Malaysia	No	No	–
Hokkaido University (Japan)	No	No	–

*Offered as complementary postgraduate training (4-year degree).

Mand: mandatory subject, not linked to a specific course. Opt: optional subject.

Main Medical Schools in Oceania

University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
The Australian National University	No	No	–
The University of Sydney (Australia)	No	No	–
The University of Melbourne (Australia)	No	No	–
The University of New South Wales (Australia)	No	No	–
The University of Queensland (Australia)	No	No	–

Main African Medical Schools

University	Reference to chronicity	Potentially related subject	Course
University of Cape Town (South Africa)	No	No	–
University of the Witwatersrand (South Africa)	No	No	–
Stellenbosch University (South Africa)	No	No	–
Cairo University (Egypt)	No	No	–
Alexandria University (Egypt)	No	No	–

recognized chronicity as a cross-curricular competence. Our own institution, the University of Santiago de Compostela, is no exception: there is no mention of chronicity in the medical school educational program. Regarding the rest of Europe, as can be seen in [Table 2](#), chronicity is not present as a global competence, though some medical schools in the United Kingdom, France, and Germany have recently made profound changes to their educational programs and have established competence-based programs.

In the United States of America, most medical schools had a competence-based educational program. Regarding chronicity, though its presence was not explicit, it was found

in concepts related to quality of life, functional status, or the relationship between chronic diseases and social and work life. Some specific projects, like the Longitudinal Standardized Patient Case, have been implemented in some medical schools. This project focuses on the evaluation of chronic patients and the disease's impact on daily life, in all cases with a high degree of satisfaction reported by the medical students.^{10–13} Other noteworthy strategies are those based on follow-up on the clinical progress of real chronic patients (Longitudinal Clinical Experience), rather than just simulated clinical cases. This strategy aims for students to become familiar with patients' real lives and understand the disease's impact on it.¹⁴




Figure 1 Flowchart.

Review of scientific articles

The search strategy retrieved 651 articles, of which 498 were excluded after reviewing the title and abstract because they did not meet the inclusion criteria. In a second round, another 112 articles were excluded due to absence of a detailed description of the teaching method or because they belonged to the field of postgraduate or specialized medical training. Of the remaining 41 articles, 21 were included in the review after reading and analyzing them in detail (Fig. 1).

Among the 21 articles analyzed, six which contained proposals for objectives or competences to be acquired through specific education on aspects related to chronicity are presented. The remaining 15 articles describe specific proposals for innovative teaching methods in the field of chronicity that have been carried out as pilot projects and, in most cases, have been subjected to a critical evaluation by stu-

dents and/or teaching staff. The articles included in the review are detailed in Table 3.

In the first group of six articles, a paper from 1964 already discussed the challenge posed by chronic patients, the impact of disease on all areas of people's lives, and the need to implement measures that go beyond mere medical treatment.¹⁵ In this sense, A. J. Cohen remarked in 1996 that existing educational deficits in this field led to an erroneous subsequent approach and poor resource management both when treating and preventing the development of chronic diseases.¹⁶

In 2004, Pham et al. published a survey of medical school department directors in the United States with the intention of proposing a common plan of action to improve medical training on chronicity. The results reflected a certain degree of agreement on the need for change, but enormous variability in the approach that each would propose.¹⁷

Table 3 Articles included in the literature review.

Proposals on competencies and educational objectives

Author	Year	Country	Highlights
Thomas N. Lynn ¹⁶	1964	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - First proposal of specific educational curriculum on chronicity. - Importance of preventive measures. - Emphasis on functional impairment and dependence secondary to any chronic disease.
A. J. Cohen ¹⁷	1998	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Relevance of psychosocial aspects. - Emphasis on the acquisition of knowledge on measures to prevent complications. - Learn to manage uncertainty. - Training on proper resource management. - Teamwork and multidisciplinary approach. - Patient-centered approach to medicine.
Pham et al. ¹⁸	2004	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Survey on educational needs on chronicity. - Agreement on the need, but great variability on the priorities when making decisions.
Esselman et al. ¹⁹	2009	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Importance of functional decline caused by chronic diseases. - Need to know tools for functional evaluation.
Shi and Nambudiri ²⁰	2018	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Role of rehabilitation in any chronically ill person. - Training on chronicity as a cross-curriculum competency. - Need to involve all medical specialties in training in chronicity. - Defense of the use of technology and telemedicine as facilitating tools.
Dekhlyar et al. ⁵	2020	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Promotion of self-management of the disease. - Learning based on evidence-based medicine. - Correct use of information systems. - Efficient use of community resources. - Teamwork and communication. - Promote healthcare of the highest quality and safety possible.

Experiences with innovative teaching methodology

Author	Year	Country	Highlights
Stephenson et al. ²¹	1996	United Kingdom	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Pioneering experience based on workshops and seminars given by experts from different areas. - Emphasizes the importance of learning about the disease from the patient's point of view.
Waddel and Davidson ²²	2000	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Direct learning from families involved in volunteer programs. - The student goes into families' homes to learn <i>in situ</i>. - Introduces learning objectives such as prevention, service, and humanity.
Jerant et al. ³⁰	2005	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> -Role-playing workshops. -Material to define attitudes and responses of major syndromes in chronic diseases. -Group work and critical evaluation. -Authors evaluate pre- and post-experience knowledge with the aim of significant improvement.
Diederiks et al. ⁴²	2006	Netherlands	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Periodic interviews with chronic patients throughout a course. - Modifies students' perception of these patients. - Big difference between what the students initially expected and the reality.
Yuen et al. ²³	2006	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - At-home visits with chronic patients. - Subsequent reflection task on these patients' reality.

Table 3 (Continued)

Proposals on competencies and educational objectives

Author	Year	Country	Highlights
McKinlay et al. ²⁶	2009	New Zealand	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Learning focused on the social-familial environment and possible interventions by the doctor to improve the patient's daily life. - Substantial change in the perception of care work for chronic patients after the experience. - Three-phase system: direct patient learning, teacher-guided reflection, and presentation of results to the rest of students. - Survey before and after the experience that shows an absolute change in the perception of chronicity by the students.
Shapiro et al. ²⁸	2009	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Filmed visits with patients with the aim of preparing an audiovisual record. - Reflection on the patient's daily life. - Group presentation. - Critical evaluation by the rest of the group.
LoFaso et al. ²⁹	2010	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Visits to patients and reflection on their life with chronic diseases. - Creation of an artistic project of any kind to express the sensations and knowledge acquired. - Group presentation and critical analysis.
Dent et al. ⁴³	2010	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - 4-week rotation in a rural community. - Training objectives of the rotation very focused on chronicity and the way of life of chronic patients. - A change in students' perception towards a much more positive opinion after the experience is observed.
Mullen et al. ⁴⁴	2010	United Kingdom	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Interview with a chronic patient at home. - Later second interview in another place with the main caregiver. - Teaching objectives related to quality of life, disease progression, and impact on the daily lives of the patient and their caregivers.
Guenther et al. ⁴⁰	2014	Australia	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Student self-directed education linked to rotations in primary care and focused on learning concepts related to chronicity. - Excellent perception by students and good results in terms of knowledge acquisition.
Umland et al. ²⁵	2016	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Health mentor program in which the patient acts as a teacher for the students. - Allows for follow-up on the patient for at least two years and evaluation of changes in the disease and impact on the patient's life.
Remus et al. ²⁷	2016	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Combination of workshops, seminars, and patient visits. - Training of the students themselves as those in charge of the teaching system. - Analysis of results on knowledge acquired and opinions on the organizational system itself.
Liu et al. ³¹	2016	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Direct involvement of students in the care of chronic patients. - Regular meetings to monitor and evaluate decision-making.
Block et al. ¹⁴	2018	USA	<ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Critical evaluation by students and tutors. - Longitudinal Standardized Patient Case Methodology. - Students play the role of patients during several workshops separated in time. - The management and execution of the project is carried out by the students themselves.

Other authors developed proposals based on students' need to evaluate the functional repercussions of any chronic disease and the degree of disability it causes.¹⁸ Shi and Nambudiri advocated for extending medical training on chronicity to the entire educational period and all medical specialties, making chronicity one of the main cross-curricular competences in medicine.¹⁹

Recently, an expert panel comprising members from different universities in the United States of America have proposed six major objectives for improving medical teaching on chronicity that revolve around optimal data and resource management.⁴

The second group of 15 articles focused on proposals for specific educational projects on chronicity. One of the first works, published in 1996, was based on seminars and workshops and reported a high level of student satisfaction.²⁰ Subsequently, other authors have made various proposals based on students learning directly by visiting patients' family settings, such as the "Keeping Families Healthy" project,²¹ or a project which took place at Cornell University in which students conducted interviews with patients in their homes.²²

The idea of bringing teaching on chronicity to the patient's environment has been spreading in recent years through different projects based on the "Health Mentor" system, in which a student or group of students is assigned to a chronic patient and learn directly from his or her life experience with the disease.^{23,24}

In general, the main structure of most projects could be summarized as follows:

- a) The student or group of students is assigned to a patient who acts as a Health Mentor.
- b) The student reflects throughout the experience by keeping a journal or completing questionnaires.
- c) The student conveys his or her experiences and the knowledge acquired to other students.

The third point has been explored in different teaching projects on chronicity and in most, it is accompanied by completing surveys before and after the project which evaluate changes in students' perceptions about chronicity.²⁵ Some long-term experiences, like the teaching project developed at Harvard University, have shown great results by improving the teaching process every year through the students' evaluation and self-management of the educational process.²⁶

Other authors have published experiences in which students filmed interviews in order to create a documentary that they later presented in public²⁷ or even translated their experiences with the patients into a work of artistic expression through painting, music, or other media.²⁸ Some projects based on the Longitudinal Standardized Patient Case system have explored the use of roleplay dynamics and simulation systems for educational experiences on chronicity.^{13,29} Finally, the project conducted by Liu et al. at Yale University, in which students were involved not only in

patient evaluations, but also in the decision-making process, merits mention.³⁰

Discussion

The first noteworthy finding of this review is the high degree of variability in medical education in general and in chronicity in particular in medical schools worldwide. In light of these differences, some authors have outlined different approaches for improving and standardizing educational programs at medical schools in different countries^{31–34} and minimum quality criteria have been also proposed.³⁵ In this sense, the presence of concepts related to chronicity in most teaching programs is very scarce, despite the fact that some authors have suggested that chronicity should be a cross-curricular competence taught throughout medical school and covered in all medical specialties.¹⁹

This review found interesting proposals and projects linked to medical education in the field of chronicity that could be implemented in most universities worldwide to improve the knowledge and management of chronic patients. In many countries, like Spain, there are documents and projects regarding the approach to chronicity that highlight the importance of involving all healthcare professionals, but there are hardly any projects for reforming medical education, despite its capital importance.^{36,37} The first challenge universities face in this regard is to reflect the real situation of the population in most developed countries in medical school education and ensure the acquisition of enough competences and skills to properly care for chronic patients in an efficient, safe, and valuable way.

A key element for reforming medical education programs is the need to establish education on chronicity as a core competence implemented in a global, cross-curricular manner throughout the entire medical school education. This change should be preceded by a reorganization of the educational structure into a skills-and-competences-based program with universal minimum quality criteria.^{35,38}

Other important concepts to be considered are professionalism, involvement, and cooperation during medical education. In this sense, in some of the projects analyzed, the students acted as educators and transmitters of information.^{13,26,39} In addition, continuous evaluation and feedback from students is another key for achieving excellence in medical education programs and should be applied to any project designed to improve medical education on chronicity.⁴⁰ Indeed, it is remarkable that most innovative projects regarding medical education on chronicity include evaluation systems with the aim of improving the educational experience.^{26–30,41}

It will also be necessary to update evaluation systems to focus on the skills and core competences for solving problems and making correct decisions in complex situations, such as those related to chronic patients.

Teachers' attitudes in this regard should focus on providing the student with adequate tools and resources to successfully handle these situations.⁴⁰ Unfortunately, previous analyses have detected a great degree of variability in evaluation systems and poor adaptation to competence and skill evaluation, regardless of whether these exist and are described as teaching objectives in the program.⁴²

Finally, it is also important to evaluate the potential financial costs when developing these projects. Most of the proposals analyzed entail practically no additional financial costs and the human or material resources required are minimal. Nevertheless, all medical schools interested in developing teaching projects on chronicity based on the acquisition of skills and competences will have to redirect resources and establish a network of teaching collaborators throughout all healthcare areas and levels.¹⁹ Everyday technological resources, like videoconference or social media applications, could be also used for simulations and virtual meetings.^{13,29}

To date, there are no comparative analyses which have evaluated innovative training systems compared to traditional systems. Despite this, all local, specific evaluations described in different projects have showed a high degree student satisfaction and an improvement in their overall knowledge.

In light of these findings, a proposal for improving teaching on chronicity in the University of Santiago de Compostela Medical School, or indeed any other medical school, could be:

- The establishment of chronicity as a core cross-curricular competence whose consideration and influence should be reflected throughout the medical education period.
- The development of a Health Mentor Program, forming groups of students, patients, and tutors with follow-up throughout the medical education period.
- The creation of a network focused on chronicity that comprises teachers, physicians, patients, students, and other healthcare professionals for supervision and advising on education on chronicity.

Conclusions

Chronicity should be considered a major health problem and medical students' education on concepts related to it must be a strategic objective of all medical schools. Despite this, this review showed that the presence of chronicity in most medical schools worldwide is marginal or nonexistent.

In light of previous experiences and recommendations, the educational approach to chronicity must understood as a cross-curricular competence that goes beyond a mere single subject, but rather be taught throughout a student's education.

Strategies such as periodic workshops and seminars, regular visits to chronic patients in their environment for on-site learning, the development of programs based on simulations with technological support, and periodic group discussions are powerful tools with proven validity that should be included in any plan for improving education on chronicity.

Funding

This research did not receive any specific grant from funding agencies in the public, commercial, or not-for-profit sectors.

Conflicts of interest

None.

References

1. Ministerio de Sanidad, Consumo y Bienestar Social. Estrategia para el Abordaje de la Cronicidad en el Sistema Nacional de Salud. Available from: https://www.mscls.gob.es/organizacion/sns/planCalidadSNS/pdf/Evaluacion.E..Cronicidad_Final.pdf. [Accessed 23 April 2022].
2. Mateos-Nozal J, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Ribera Casado JM. Geriatric teaching at undergraduate level: are Spanish Medical Schools following European recommendations? *Rev Espanola Geriatr Gerontol*. 2015;50(April (2)):71–3.
3. Mateos-Nozal J, Farré-Mercadé MV, Cruz-Jentoft AJ, Ribera Casado JM. Grupo de Enseñanza de la Geriatria en el pregrado de la Sociedad Española de Geriatria y Gerontología, Miembros del Grupo de Enseñanza de la Geriatria en el pregrado. [Ten recommendations to improve undergraduate training in Geriatric Medicine]. *Rev Espanola Geriatr Gerontol*. 2019;54(August (4)):203–6.
4. Dekhtyar M, Colford C, Whisenant E, Huber J, Johnson E, Thomas P, et al. Development of learning objectives to guide enhancement of chronic disease prevention and management curricula in undergraduate medical education. *Teach Learn Med*. 2020;32(July (3)):241–9.
5. Mendoza-Núñez VM, Martínez-Maldonado M de la L, Correa-Muñoz E. Perceptions on the importance of gerontological education by teachers and students of undergraduate health sciences. *BMC Med Educ*. 2007;7(January):1.
6. ARWU World University Rankings 2020 Academic Ranking of World Universities [Internet]. [Accessed 22 March 2021]. Available from: <http://www.shanghairanking.com/>.
7. QS World University Rankings 2021 [Internet]. Top Universities. Available from: <https://www.topuniversities.com/university-rankings/world-university-rankings/2021>. [Accessed 22 March 2021].
8. World University Rankings 2020–2021 | CWUR [Internet]. Available from: <https://cwur.org/2020-21.php>. [Accessed 22 March 2021].
9. Lockyer J, Carraccio C, Chan MK, Hart D, Smee S, Touchie C, et al. Core principles of assessment in competency-based medical education. *Med Teach*. 2017;39(June (6)):609–16.
10. Wamsley Maria, Carpenter Lauren, Chou Calvin, Wilson Elizabeth, Deshpande Manju, Miller Bernie. Teaching principles of managing chronic illness using a longitudinal standardized patient case. *MedEdPORTAL* [Internet]. 2010;6(April), doi:10.15766/mep.2374-8265.7833 [Accessed 7 April 2021]. Available from:.
11. Wamsley Maria, Ng Rita, Chang Anna, Hauer Karen, O'Sullivan Patricia, Alpers Leila, et al. Joe Thornton: teaching and assessing medical students chronic disease management skills utilizing the chronic care model and a standardized patient. *MedEdPORTAL* [Internet]. 2009;5(July), doi:10.15766/mep.2374-8265.1724 [Accessed 7 April 2021]. Available from:.

12. Kodner C, Bohnert C. The Longitudinal Standardized Patient Project: innovation from necessity. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 2015;90(March (3)):317–20.
13. Block L, Brenner J, Conigliaro J, Pekmezaris R, DeVoe B, Kozikowski A. Perceptions of a longitudinal standardized patient experience by standardized patients, medical students, and faculty. *Med Educ Online* [Internet]. 2018;23(December (1)) [Accessed 7 April 2021]. Available from: <https://www.ncbi.nlm.nih.gov/pmc/articles/PMC6282464/>
14. De La Rosa Cinthia, Soriano Rainer, Parkas Valerie, Naderi Neloofar. Understanding experiences of chronic illness from the patient perspective. *MedEdPORTAL* [Internet]. 2014;10, doi:10.15766/mep.2374-8265.9688 [Accessed 8 April 2021]. Available from: .
15. Lynn TN. A method of presenting concepts of chronic disease and rehabilitation in a medical school curriculum. *South Med J.* 1964;57(July):769–71.
16. Cohen AJ. Caring for the chronically ill: a vital subject for medical education. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 1998;73(December (12)):1261–6.
17. Pham HH, Simonson L, Elnicki DM, Fried LP, Goroll AH, Bass EB. Training U.S. medical students to care for the chronically ill. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 2004;79(January (1)): 32–40.
18. Esselman P, Berbrayer D, Friedly J, Granger C, Mayer RS. Chronic care education in medical school: a focus on functional health and quality of life. *Am J Phys Med Rehabil.* 2009;88(October (10)):798–804.
19. Shi CR, Nambudiri VE. Time for an acute focus on chronic care in undergraduate medical education. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 2018;93(June (6)):835–8.
20. Stephenson A, Collerton J, White P. Teaching medical students about long-term illness. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 1996;71(May (5)):549–50.
21. Waddell RF, Davidson RA. The role of the community in educating medical students: initial impressions from a new program. *Educ Health Abingdon Engl.* 2000;13(1): 69–76.
22. Yuen JK, Breckman R, Adelman RD, Capello CF, LoFaso V, Reid MC. Reflections of medical students on visiting chronically ill older patients in the home. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2006;54(November (11)):1778–83.
23. Collins Lauren, Arenson Christine, Rattner Susan, Wallock Shelley, Umland Elena, Hewston Leigh Ann, et al. The health mentors program: a longitudinal chronic illness mentorship program. *MedEdPORTAL* [Internet]. 2009;5(November), doi:10.15766/mep.2374-8265.4062 [Accessed 11 April 2021]. Available from: .
24. Umland E, Collins L, Baronner A, Lim E, Giordano C. Health mentor-reported outcomes and perceptions of student team performance in a longitudinal interprofessional education program. *J Allied Health.* 2016;45(3): 219–24.
25. McKinlay E, McBain L, Gray B. Teaching and learning about chronic conditions management for undergraduate medical students: utilizing the patient-as-teacher approach. *Chronic Illn.* 2009;5(September (3)):209–18.
26. Remus KE, Honigberg M, Tummalapalli SL, Cohen LP, Fazio S, Weinstein AR. A chronic disease management student-faculty collaborative practice: educating students on innovation in health care delivery. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 2016;91(July (7)):967–71.
27. Shapiro D, Tomasa L, Koff NA. Patients as teachers, medical students as filmmakers: the video slam, a pilot study. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 2009;84(September (9)): 1235–43.
28. LoFaso VM, Breckman R, Capello CF, Demopoulos B, Adelman RD. Combining the creative arts and the house call to teach medical students about chronic illness care. *J Am Geriatr Soc.* 2010;58(February (2)):346–51.
29. Jerant AF, Levich B, Balsbaugh T, Barton S, Nuovo J. Walk a mile in my shoes: a chronic illness care workshop for first-year students. *Fam Med.* 2005;37(January (1)): 21–6.
30. Liu R, Su KW, Chen SI, Oray-Schrom P. Medical students as primary care providers: a novel curriculum enhancing understanding of chronic disease management. *Int J Med Educ.* 2016;7(August):263–4.
31. Duvivier RJ, Boulet JR, Opalek A, van Zanten M, Norcini J. Overview of the world's medical schools: an update. *Med Educ.* 2014;48(September (9)):860–9.
32. Palés JL, Rodríguez de Castro F. Retos de la formación médica de grado. *Educ Médica.* 2006;9(December (4A)): 159–72.
33. Westerman ME, Boe C, Bole R, Turner NS, Rose SH, Gettman MT, et al. Evaluation of medical school grading variability in the United States: are all honors the same? *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 2019;94(December (12)):1939–45.
34. van Zanten M, Boulet JR. Medical education in the Caribbean: variability in medical school programs and performance of students. *Acad Med J Assoc Am Med Coll.* 2008;83 October (10 Suppl):S33–36.
35. Core Committee, Institute for International Medical Education. Global minimum essential requirements in medical education. *Med Teach.* 2002;24(March (2)):130–5.
36. Bengoa Rentería R. The challenge of chronicity in Spain: better transform rather than ration. *Gac Sanit.* 2015;29(October (5)):323–5.
37. Ambrosio L, García-Vivar C. Horizon 2025 for chronic care. *An Sist Sanit Navar.* 2020;43(April (1)):5–7.
38. Stern DT, Wojtczak A, Schwarz MR. IIME Task Force for Assessment. The assessment of Global Minimum Essential Requirements in medical education. *Med Teach.* 2003;25(November (6)):589–95.
39. Guenther S, Shadbolt N, Roberts C, Clark T. Evaluating peer teaching about chronic disease. *Clin Teach.* 2014;11(December (7)):541–5.
40. Ruiz de Gauna P, González Moro V, Morán-Barrios J. Diez claves pedagógicas para promover buenas prácticas en la formación médica basada en competencias en el grado y en la especialización. *Educ Médica.* 2015;16(January (1)): 34–42.
41. Diederiks JPM, Bosma H, van Eijk JTM, van Santen M, Scherp-bier A, van der Vleuten C. Chronic patients in undergraduate education: didactic value as perceived by students. *Med Educ.* 2006;40(August (8)):787–91.
42. Sureda-Demeulemeester E, Ramis-Palmer C, Sesé-Abad A. La evaluación de competencias en Medicina. *Rev Clínica Esp.* 2017;217(December (9)):534–42.
43. Dent MM, Mathis MW, Outland M, Thomas M, Industrious D. Chronic disease management: teaching medical students to incorporate community. *Fam Med.* diciembre de. 2010;42(10):736–40.
44. Mullen K, Nicolson M, Cotton P. Improving medical students' attitudes towards the chronic sick: a role for social science research. *BMC Med Educ.* 2010;10:84.