

COVID-19 and *Argumentum ad ignorantiam* or ‘‘not everything goes’’[☆]



COVID-19 y *Argumentum ad ignorantiam* o «no todo vale»

Dear Director,

After having read with interest the letter by Dr. Abril López de Medrano et al.,¹ which we wholeheartedly endorse, we would like to raise an alarm about a curious process of scientific thinking that, to our understanding, is happening in a generalized manner in the global management of treatment against COVID-19. It is the so-called *Argumentum ad ignorantiam* fallacy, which consists of thinking that “lack of awareness of evidence contrary to an idea that we support counts as evidence in its favor.” Nothing is further from the truth, and even more so given the capacity of generating multiple trial-and-error tests with unpredictable consequences, particularly in a disease that we unfortunately still know very little about.

Let’s look at an example: a recently published preclinical study observed that the anthelmintic ivermectin achieves inhibition of SARS-CoV-2 replication *in vitro* in 48 h.² As no evidence against it has been published to date, the possible theoretical benefits of this drug in humans are to be expected. Nevertheless, if we review the work in detail, it is observed that the dose of ivermectin used *in vitro* is 5 µM. To achieve this concentration in a human being, oral administration on the order of 1000–1200 mg of ivermectin would be needed. According to the drug’s technical datasheet, the dose tested in humans (healthy volunteers) is around 100–120 mg (single dose) and severe side effects due to intoxication include ataxia and seizures.³ For this reason,

it seems clear that it would not be possible to use this drug to treat SARS-CoV-2 in humans, as the levels required for it to be effective in humans would very probably also be highly toxic.

Let’s suppose that this drug had been tested at the usual doses approved by the Spanish Agency of Medicines and Medical Devices (AEMPS, for its initials in Spanish) (thus below the therapeutic range for COVID-19) in a health center that had low mortality rates for the disease. In this scenario, it could have falsely been assumed that ivermectin played a decisive role in curing these patients. This is not so, as adequate therapeutic levels in the blood would not have been achieved.

Therefore, we must be on the alert to publications on “sensationalist” therapies supported by small case series (ozone therapy, vitamin D supplements, etc.) and, sometimes, by the doubtful methodology that, supported by the principal of *Argumentum ad ignorantiam*, promise fantasies of cures for COVID-19 disease.

References

1. Abril López de Medrano V, de Lucas EM, Salavert Lletí M. Tomar o no tomar «decisiones en caliente» respecto al tratamiento de la infección por SARS-CoV-2. Rev Clin Esp. 2020; doi:10.1016/j.rce.2020.04.004.
2. Caly L, Druce JD, Catton MG, Jans DA, Wagstaff KM. The FDA approved Drug Ivermectin inhibits the replication of SARS-CoV-2 in vitro. Antiviral Res. 2020;178:104787. doi:10.1016/j.antiviral.2020.104787.
3. Ficha técnica de Stromectol 3 mg. Lab. MSD.

M.L. López Reboiro, C. Sardiña González, J. López Castro*

Servicio de Medicina Interna, Hospital Público de Monforte, Lugo, Spain

* Corresponding author.

E-mail address: jlcastro126@hotmail.com (J. López Castro).

25 April 2020

2254-8874/ © 2020 Elsevier España, S.L.U. and Sociedad Española de Medicina Interna (SEMI). All rights reserved.

☆ Please cite this article as: López Reboiro ML, Sardiña González C, López Castro J. COVID-19 y *Argumentum ad ignorantiam* o «no todo vale». Rev Clin Esp. 2020. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.rce.2020.04.013>

Ivermectin in COVID-19. *Argumentum ad ignorantiam?*[☆]



Ivermectina en COVID-19. ¿*Argumentum ad ignorantiam?*

Dear Director,

In regard to the article “COVID-19 and *Argumentum ad ignorantiam*, or ‘not everything goes’”,¹ I would like to make a few considerations.

Ivermectin has shown preclinical evidence of its efficacy against different types of virus, including SARS-CoV-2. Likewise, some authors suggest clinical evidence of the possible efficacy of the medication against COVID-19.

In a retrospective cohort study on 280 patients, Rajter et al.² showed a significant reduction in the overall mortality rate in the group that received ivermectin (15% vs. 25.2%; OR 0.52 [CI 95% 0.29–0.96], $p = .03$). In the regression analysis adjusted for confounding variables, the reduction in mortality remained significant (OR 0.27 [CI 95% 0.09–0.85], $p = .03$; HR 0.37 [CI 95% 0.19–0.71], $p = .03$). Notable methodological elements in that publication include the adjustment for multiple confounding factors, including sociodemographic variables, comorbidities, the severity of pulmonary compromise, and the use of hydroxychloroquine and azithromycin.²

The dose of ivermectin is calculated in micrograms/kilogram and not in milligrams/kilogram. Thus, even

☆ Please cite this article as: Carlosama-Rosero Y. Ivermectina en COVID-19. ¿*Argumentum ad ignorantiam?* Rev Clin Esp. 2020;220:457–458.